UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,661	09/03/2003	Thomas Fontana	ARZ-023635-11	8644
Richard C. Stev	7590 02/28/2007 wart II	EXAMINER		
International Paper			ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA	
1422 Long Mea Tuxedo, NY 10			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•		1711	
				1.470
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
04500 A-45-0 B	10/654,661	FONTANA, THOMAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
v ; ve	Irina S. Zemel	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute that the period for reply will, by statute that the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See:37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 E	December 2006					
•••	s action is non-final.					
· ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>65-103 and 106-110</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>65-103 and 106-110</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-a				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ea.				
À	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/15/1006</u> .	6) Other:	FF. Comment				
S. Detact and Trademat. Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 65-86, 95-103, and 106-110 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 98/58002.

The rejection stands as per reasons of record. Insofar as the independent claims are amended to recite tall oil fatty acids or Monomer, this limitations are still met by the disclosure of the reference. While overall composition of DTO is different from TOFA, the reference expressly states that some significant residual amounts of fatty acids from TOFA are inherently present in the DTO as discussed in detail on page 6 of the specification. Similarly, it is reasonable believed that at least some amount of Monomer is inherently present in the DTO as it is impossible to remove the entire amounts of volatiles from tall oil. Furthermore, as expressly shown in the discussion on page 6, the amounts of TOFA fatty acids in DTO is quite significant, and in combination with the disclosure of the overall amount of DTO used in the reaction, the lower limitation to the amount of acids (as per, for example, claims 70-71) is still met by the DTO compound used in the disclosed reactions. It is noted that there is no limitation that specifically requires any amount of Monomer to be present in the reaction. The invention as claimed, thus, sis still considered to have been anticipated by the disclosure of the reference.

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 65-86, 95-103 and 106-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 2,309,610 to Burrell (of record, hereinafter "Burrell").

Burrell discloses a process for obtaining resin, the process comprising reacting reactants at elevated temperature, the reactants comprising rosin, fatty acid, aldehyde and phenolic compound that is at least trifunctional with respect to reactivity with aldehyde, where the phenolic compound that is at least trifimctional constitutes at least 25 wt% of all phenolic compounds used to form the resin and wherein the fatty, acid is tall oil fatty acid. See, for example, illustrative example 12 and the entire disclosure of the patent which expressly discusses all of the claimed components in the claimed amounts. The only component which is not expressly disclosed is the Monomer. However, the TOFA expressly disclosed by the reference inherently comprises at least some amounts of Monomer. In the absence of any quantitative limitations as to the amounts of the Monomer, any amounts inherently present in the TOFA satisfies the limitations of the claims directed solely to Monomer as the fatty acid component. The reference further expressly discloses various used of the resin such as varnishes, water-based compositions and other uses which fully correspond to the claimed uses.

The invention as claimed in amended claims, thus, is fully disclosed in the cited reference.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12-11-2006 are have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants argue with r WO reference that the reference does not disclosed the claimed TOFA or Monomer fatty acids. The examiner disagrees.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,661

Art Unit: 1711

While, as noted above, DTO and TOFA do have different overall compositions, as discussed above the reference expressly teaches that DTO does contain residual amounts of TOFA acids (the reference dedicated entire page 6 to this discussion), and, as disclosed by the reference, the fatty acid components of DTO are "presumably formed, at least in part, from TOFA fatty acids and/or the fatty acid-like and the rosin-like components", the claimed limitation of the fatty cid to be either TOFA or Monomer are inherently met by the DTO fatty acids. (It is noted that the claim language does not preclude any other acids in any other amounts (e=with exception of claims claiming the TOFA cid amounts that can be as low as 5 %) from being present in the reaction composition).

Therefore, the invention as claimed is still considered to have been anticipated by the WO reference.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuarit to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1711

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Irina S. Zemel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

Jua Jemil

ISZ